-----Original Message-----From: Sent: 12 October 200/ 15:58 To: Cc: Subject: FW: Shell Wikipegia

FYI - interesting - any background on this? Should we get involved in the debate? Certainly this should be in our corporate capacity. If so, we might say eg

"This site has been developed and largely updated by the proprietors of the RoyalDutchShellplc website, a self-styled 'gripe site' that is dedicated to criticism of Royal Dutch Shell PLC, with which it has no connection. As such, the Wikipedia entry is biased, and we do not believe that it is consistent with the objectives of Wikipedia, ie to provide a factual and balanced source of information to web users."

Doubtless the Donovans would interpret this as indicating that we were involved in some underhand conspiracy to prevent him from expressing his views....

-----Original Messade-----From: Sent: 12 October 2007 15:21 To: Subject: Sneil Wikipedia

It seems that the page <u>Controversies surrounding Royal Dutch Shell</u> </wiki/Controversies surrounding Royal Dutch Shell> on Wikipedia may be deleted.

Not sure how or why - but it seems that there is a period of "discussion" to see if users think the article is appropriate.

See links below:

This article is being considered for deletion in accordance with Wikipedia's <u>deletion policy</u> </wiki/Wikipedia:Deletion_policy></wiki/Template:Afd>

Please share your thoughts on the matter at this article's entry

</wiki/Wikipedia:Articles for deletion/Controversies surrounding Royal Dutch Shell> on the Articles for deletion </wiki/Wikipedia:Articles_for_deletion> page.

Feel free to edit the article, but the article must not be blanked, and this notice must not be removed, until the discussion is closed. For more information, particularly on merging or moving the article during the discussion, read the guide to deletion </wiki/Wikipedia:Guide_to_deletion>.

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Wikipedia:Articles for deletion/Controversies surrounding Royal Dutch Shell